Real-Time Data Collection And Interpretation "Why Is It Important?"

> Stephen Dyment US EPA Technology Innovation Field Services Division yment.stephen@epa.go





First A Little History



"Doubt is not a pleasant condition, but certainty is absurd."

Voltaire, humanist, rationalist, & satirist (1694 - 1778)



"As we know, there are known knowns. There are things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns. That is to say we know there are some things we do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns, the ones we don't know we don't know."



Donald Rumsfeld, Feb. 12, 2002 Department of Defense

Decision Quality Only as Good as the Weakest Link in the Data Quality Chain



Each link represents a variable contributing toward the quality of the analytical result. All links in the data quality chain must be intact for data to be of decision-making quality!



Sampling vs. Analytical Uncertainty Analytical = 5% 331 On-site 500 On-site 286 Lab 416 Lab 2 Sampling = 39,800 On-95% site 3 41,400 Lab 6 **2 ft** 164 On-site 1,280 On-site 136 Lab 1,220 Lab

24,400 Onsite

27,700 Lab

5

27,800 On-site 42,800 Lab





Figure adapted from Jenkins (CRREL), 1996 4

4



Real-Time Data

- Really "Within-Time"
 - Results/information in time to facilitate dynamic work strategies
 - Field analytical methods (XRF, IA, UV, colorimetric)
 - Direct sensing tools (MIP, LIF, EC, CPT)
 - Geophysical tools (EM, resistivity, GPR)
 - Mobile labs, field GC, modified methods
 - Quick turn fixed based lab analysis
 - Can benefit from "demonstration of method applicability" (DMA), field based investigation levels, decision logic, decision support tools





DMA History

"I think that in the discussion of natural problems we ought to begin not with the Scriptures, but with experiments, and demonstrations."



Galileo Galilei

Concept founded in SW-846, performance based measurement (PBMS) initiative http://www.epa.gov/sw-846/pbms.htm Initial site-specific performance evaluation Analytical and direct sensing methods Sample design, sample collection techniques, sample preparation strategies Used to select information sources for field and offsite Goal is to establish that proposed technologies and strategies can provide information appropriate to meet project decision criteria





Just A Few DMA Benefits

- Augment planned data collection and CSM development
 Test drive Decision Support Tools (DSTs)
 - Sampling and statistical tools
 - Visualization tools, data management tools
- Develop relationships between visual observations and direct sensing tools
- Flexibility to change tactics based on DMA rather than full implementation
- Establish initial decision logic for DWS
- Evaluate existing contract mechanisms
- Optimize sequencing, load balance, unitizing costs
- The "Brownfields perception"





Example Correlations Between LIF Response and Free Product

Free Product At >50% Relative Fluorescence for Gasoline

Free Product At >75% Relative Fluorescence for Oil





Real-Time Data Allows. . .

The use of dynamic work strategies Continuous updates to CSM Target and manage greatest uncertainties as they are identified Optimize well placement/screen interval Limit mobilizations/prioritize data gaps Choose collaborative samples Combine traditionally segmented activities/ Monitor in-situ remedy effectiveness



Collaborative Data Sets Address Analytical and Sampling Uncertainties



Real-time Measurement Systems and Collaborative Data Sets

Field based action levels or investigation levels Levels for field analytics or direct sensing tools that trigger action Collection of collaborative data Step outs, additional sampling or analysis, well placement, etc. Remedy implementation Removal Confirmation of clean (sometimes required)





DQOs for Superfund guidance

Lead Niton vs. ICP



3 Way Decision Structure With Region of Uncertainty Lead Niton vs. ICP



Real-Time Data Interpretation

Critical Part II of Real-Time Collect, process, visualize, make decisions, communicate with stakeholders Visualization software Freeware like SADA, FIELDS, RAT, VSP Commercial products: EVS, GMS, EQuIS Decision support tools Freeware http://www.frtr.gov/decisionsupport/ Commercial and proprietary software





Dynamic Work Strategies

From this...
To this.....
Detailed decision logic
Program
Project
Field effort
Sampling
Contaminant

NEWMOA



Conceptual Site Models





Decision Support Tools

 From this...
 To this.....
 Geostatistics using SADA

WMOA

Evergreen Berm, Plan View Probability that 1-ft Deep Volumes > 250 ppm Pb



Statistical Sampling Design

Choose wisely: It Makes a Big Difference in Sample Numbers!

🗊 True Mean vs. Action Level 🛛 🔀					
One-Sample t-Test Sample Placement Costs Data Analysis For Help, highlight an item and press F1	Actual mean closer to AL>				
Choose: True Mean >= Action Level (Assume Site is Dirty) True Mean <= Action Level (Assume Site is Clean) You have chosen as a baseline to assume the site is "Dirty" False Rejection Rate (Alpha): 5.0 % False Acceptance Rate (Beta): 10.0 %	Increa	GR width: <u>StDev</u>	350 ppm	200 ppm	100 ppm
Width of Gray Region (Delta): 350 Action Level: 400 Estimated Standard Deviation: 50	sing var	50 ppm	•2	3	4
Minimum Number of Samples in Survey Unit:	ability -	200 ppm	5	10	36
	V	300 ppm	8	21	79
Close Cancel Help	acnes		Strategies		19

Sampling Design

Triangular grid program 203 samples allocated Observed error rates: Missed contamination: 0 ft^2 Incorrectly excavated clean: 3,500 ft² (35%) over-excavation)

WMOA



Initial Conceptual Site Model

 Based on soft information, assign probability of contamination being present

the Unknown

dressing

NEWMOA

- Map shows this CSM pictorially, color-coded based on contamination probability
- This CSM drives subsequent sampling decisions & becomes an important point of concurrence for stakeholders



GeoBayesian Adaptive Sampling Progression



Adaptive Program Performance

Completely done with 62 samples After only 22 samples, outperformed traditional 203 sample grid program from an error rate perspective **Requires real-time** measurements





Another Example....

4 residential backyards screened by XRF for arsenic with action level of 25 ppm averaged over yard Use XRF to determine: whether each yard is likely above or below action level, and if below, how many laboratory samples are required to statistically show it?

Here's what the yards look like:



Real-Time Data Experiences Lessons Learned

- Linear regression- can be helpful or misleading
 Heterogeneity- large scale, small scale, and within sample
 - Don't expect collaborative data to compare any better than 2 labs or even the same lab
- Focus on decision quality
- Data management and communication are key
- Structure vendor contracts to include some DMA principles
- Evaluate contingencies
- Particular instruments ≠ technology generalizations

Brownfields Technical Support Center http://www.brownfieldstsc.org/index.cfm

Technical support services

- Facilitate systematic planning
- Build/refine conceptual site model
- Sampling design, scope of work, sequencing
- Real-time measurements, field analytics/collaborative data sets
- Statistical data analysis
- Decision support tools
- Work-plan development/review
- Remedy optimization
- Monitoring network optimization
- Independent design reviews











Comprehensive Sources

Hazardous Waste Cleanup Information (CLU-IN) Internet Site <u>http://clu-in.orq</u> Triad Resource Center <u>http://www.triadcentral.orq</u> **EPA Internet site** Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable http://www.frtr.org Field Analytical Technology Encyclopedia (FATE) **Brownfields Technology Support Center** <u>http://www.btsc.orq</u> Interstate Technology Regulatory Council (ITRC) <u>http://www.itrcweb.org</u>